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**W. Perinchief-Chairman** 00:10: Good afternoon. This Commission is resuming. And Counsel, I see your online.

**Senior Counsel Harrison** 00:19: Yes, I am Chairman.

**W. Perinchief-Chairman** 00:22: We did adjourn at a point where witnesses had, a witness had given some information from a document that indicated that certain of the Commissioners, myself included, might be in conflict , in \a position of conflict of interest. However, we have deliberated on the matter with Counsel and have come to some decisions. One, the issue of lawyer, Phillip Perinchief, with from the documentation represented, we believe the claimants. I personally as his brother alone have had no professional or personal interest in the matter, which would cause me to recuse myself from the matter. I remain neutral in this position. I now pass you to Commissioner Milligan Whyte who was, whose partner was mentioned. And she herself will explain to you her proposition as she states it and sees it, Mrs. Milligan-Whyte?

**Lynda Milligan Whyte** 01:46: Thank you. Good afternoon. Yes, I'm a partner of Arthur Hudson and uh, I'm in a completely different department. I head up the corporate law department which deals with business, setting up that start the companies, legal opinions in connection with that. Mr. Arthur Hudson does primarily property law and litigation. And we don't share clients. So, I wouldn't know what he, his client base would be, number or what he's doing in connection with those kinds. So, I've concluded that it really isn't a conflict of interest either. So, I apologize for bringing it up so late, but I was, this was the first time I read that letter

**W. Perinchief-Chairman** 02:52: Yes, Counsel, we've dealt with the matters of whether or not there should be any recusal of Commissioners and decided that they should not be. And at this point, I wish for the Commission and yourself, Counsel, to continue the evidence of the witnesses as before we actually adjourn. I would request that the witnesses continue their testimony.

**Senior Counsel Harrison** 03:26: Thank you, Chairman. And Commissioners. I propose at this time to have the witnesses continue given their evidence. We will take it as far as, excuse me, we' re able to go. And in respect to the evidence, I wish just through transparency, just to indicate that we'll take it to a point and thereafter, the recommendation on my part is that the evidence to be led will be in camera because we wish to maintain the integrity of the process. And we will have evidence heard by the Commissioners in camera to maintain the integrity. I'd ask the witnesses to continue and then we, the witnesses will make submissions to the Commission. Thank you.

**W. Perinchief-Chairman** 04:23: Yes, Counsel, I would ask, make a request that at a point where you consider that the witnesses and their evidence should be heard in camera, if you make that point to myself as the Chair and I will take it upon advisement.

**Senior Counsel Harrison** 04:41: Thank you, Chairman. Thank you.

**W. Perinchief-Chairman** 04:45: That's what actually I would take after that.

**Senior Counsel Harrison** 04:45: Thank you.

**W. Perinchief-Chairman** 04:46: Witnesses you may continue your testimony.

**Senior Counsel Harrison** 5:05: Thank you. We were at slide 93. Now please, please remove this slide from the for further ah, further down please, thank you. Yes, you may continue madam.

**Hayley Teart** 05:20: Yes, also on this section of our presentation, we're going to be looking at some more supporting documents, including the letter from Crissons and some various maps produced by Public Works, Robert Clark and Crissons that either, that support our claim to the land and also provide evidence towards the inaccuracy of various deeds provided by government and in court proceedings that were previously mentioned.

**Senior Counsel Harrison** 05:57: Now, in respect of C.H.B. Crisson, was, did he? Was he someone who was this entity Civil Engineering and Surveyors working with the Darrell family? Or, your reliance has been placed on this?

**Hayley Teart** 06:23: Yes.

**Senior Counsel Harrison** 06:28: Please proceed.

**Haley Teart** 06:30: So, this is a letter from C.H.B. Crisson, Civil Engineering Surveyor dated June 24, 1996.

**Senior Counsel Harrison** 06:36: And to, who was his letter addressed? Who?

**Haley Teart** 06:43: There doesn't appear to be... It doesn't appear to be addressed to anyone.

**Senior Counsel Harrison** 06:51: But, he was acting on behalf of the Darrell family? Had been engaged by the Darrell Family?

**Haley Teart** 06:59: Yes, he had been engaged by the Darrell family.

**Senior Counsel Harrison** 07:14 Yes, please proceed.

**Haley Tear** 07:16 This letter is just a couple of statements provided by Crissons and related to the various conveyances and maps or plans provided by Stovell. And it's primarily civil, so I can go ahead and read it.

 Um, dated June 24, 1996, E.T.Richards receipt, 3rd of February 1950, for professional services from George Darrell relating to a boundary wall being moved and to conveying land of the Estate of Emelius Darrell to him. Conveyance by E.T. Richards of 15th of June 1950. Astwood to Lowe, of lots 46 and 47 of Sunnyside Park. Recites title and indicates lot 47 bounded north by Colonial Government for 100 referred, for 100 feet, refers to plan not available on indenture dated 11th of July 1938. Simons to G. A, Williams and Claude Williams: Williams therefore bought land in 1938. Had it subdivided presumably by WMS, In 1943, and sold 46 and 47 in 1950. This ownership by government is in conflict with Stovell's plan of 1943 (1-4b), which shows it to be the Estate of Emelius Darrell.

 Southampton Parish tax bill nine - September 10, 1950, bills Estate of Emelius Darrell for taxes on L-400. It is alleged that Claudia Darrell, deceased in 1949, was conveyed six and a quarter acres in 1922, and conveyed the same to Dodwell three days later. It is impossible to find space for this acreage between the main road, De Rosa Property, Sunnyside Park and the land of the William Lightbourn Property continued past the Darrell Cottage. Further to the Clark Plan - Plan 1-2(b), indicates Darrell Property to the east of cottage. Stovell's plan of the whole of Sunnyside Park subdivision shows Colonial Government ownership of land north lot, north of lots 47, 48 and 55 and east of lot 33. The, they also show government owning the south portion of the De Rosa holding that certainly miss, misdescribes the well- established De Rosa boundaries and ignores the Estate of Emelius Darrell as shown on the Jarvis plan 1-4(b). Stovell's plan of 1955, shows a diagonal line from lot 48 through lot 55 which is on the line of a fence, which Mr. Darrell and his father apparently accepted as being on their property. By conveyance of 5th of January 1956, a portion of the Jarvis property was sold to remain being described on the west still by land of the heirs or assigns of Emelius Darrell um, and under that it says lawyer, Lois Brown.

**Senior Counsel Harrison** 10:40 Thank you very much. Now the, after that summary that was given by the, on the documents attached.

**Hayley Teart** 10:57 The documents are not attached. These documents are separate.

**Senior Counsel Harrison**  10:59 Okay. I'd ask Mr. Chairman, Commissioners, that the summary that was read from the Crissons' opinion, but not opinion but the document, be tendered and admitted as Exhibit DM 4.

**W. Perinchief-Chairman** 11:30: The document drafted by C.H.P. Crisson. And what's the date of it? The summary?

**Senior Counsel Harrison** 11:44: June 24, 1996.

**W. Perinchief-Chairman** 11:47: June 24, 1996, be entered as Exhibit DM 4?

**Senior Counsel Harrison** 12:02: Thank you. Could you take us to the next slide, Miss Teart? What is this madam? What can you say? Or Mr. Darrell, could you speak to it?

**Nathan Darrell** 12:17: Yes. Nathan Darrell. This is a plan of the land which was just mentioned in the report from Crissons. This is the plan that was supposed to be traced from a plan surveyed and drawn by J.H. Dale.

**Senior Counsel Harrison** 12:36: Okay, I was going to ask you to speak up into the microphone. Could I have the entire document shown please? Could you minimize it? Do you have a date on it? The document, Chairman that says, Plan of Land Southampton Parish, Heron Bay School, I'd ask that it be tendered and admitted as DM, as DM 5.

**Nathan Darrell** 13:14: It's a date, a date at the bottom, 2/3/70.

**W. Perinchief-Chairman** 13:28: Yes, uh Counsel, you've a requested that the plan of the land of learning, property for the Southampton Glebe School. The date?

**Nathan Darrell** 13:48: Heron Bay.

**W. Perinchief-Chairman** 13:48: Sorry, Heron Bay School. Dated, 2/3/1970 as drawn from the report of C.H. Crisson be entered as Exhibit DM 5. Correct?

**Senior Counsel Harrison** 14:05: That's correct Chairman. That's correct. Could you go to the following slide? Mr. Darrell, could you assist us? What is this document here?

**Nathan Darrell** 14:27: Yes. This is, this is another plan of the proposed same area. That was...

**Senior Counsel Harrison** 14:49: Could I see the foot of the document please. Could you enlarge it a bit to the left side please? Thank you.

**Nathan Darrell** 15:07: Plan Robert H. Clark. Again, I see a reference in the bottom left corner to J.H. Dale plan of 1932.

**Senior Counsel Harrison** 15:24: I'd ask you to just speak into the microphone for me.

**Nathan Darrell** 15:29: There's also a reference to the J.H. Dale Plan dated November the 10th,10th 1932.

**Senior Counsel Harrison** 15:40: Thank you, Uh, Sir Chairman could I ask that this plan which refers to Robert, which is a Robert H. Clark survey. Robert H. Clark in the bottom right corner and the note, the note in respect of the note in the left corner, which I am, which has a date, November 10, 1932. I'd ask that this be tendered as DM 6.

**W. Perinchief-Chairman** 16:26: You request that a plan, a map drawn by Robert H. Clark with a reference number, left hand corner, of November 10, 1932, be exhibited as DM 6.

**Senior Counsel Harrison** 16:47: That is so Chairman . Next slide. Mr. Darrell, would you assist us?

**Nathan Darrell** 17:01: This, this plan here is the Crisson plan that was drawn up by the Crisson Engineering, Civil Engineering, Surveyor. This shows the correct lanes of the Riviera Estate Road in reference to the property of George Darrell. Which is the...

**Senior Counsel Harrison** 17:28: Thank you. Could I see the bottom right hand corner. Enlarge it a bit more for me? So, Chairman I'm asking that this plan that is shown with the initials C.H.B., named Crisson C.H.B. Crisson, Civil Engineer, Hamilton Bermuda, 3091/1. February. Dated February 6, 19. Is that 45? I'd ask that it be tendered and admitted as Exhibit DM 4(a)? DM 4(a).

**Nathan Darrell** 18:33: 1995.

**Senior Counsel Harrison** 18:35: 1995. Sorry.

**W. Perinchief-Chairman** 18:37: 1995. Um, you wish to have exhibit DM 4(a), describing a plan by Crisson of the property of George Darrell, drawn by C.H.Crisson, Civil Engineer, reference number 3091/1, and dated February 6, 1995. Let that be entered as Exhibit DM 4(a)

**Senior Counsel Harrison** 19:23: Thank you. And it has the words root of, root of title plan.

**W. Perinchief-Chairman** 19:28: Would you repeat that Counselor?

**Senior Counsel Harrison** 19:30: And it has the, in the, on the diagram it has the words root of title plan.

**W. Perinchief-Chairman** 19:35: Root of...

**Senior Counsel Harrison** 19:39: Title plan.

**W. Perinchief-Chairman** 19:40: Could you repeat that again? The...

**Senior Counsel Harrison** 19:46: Root of title plan.

**W. Perinchief-Chairman** 19:48: Title plan.

**Senior Counsel Harrison** 19:50: Yes. root of title plan scale 1 to 500 as displayed on, projected on the screen.

**W. Perinchief-Chairman** 19:56: Yes, that's so recorded.

**Senior Counsel Harrison** 20:02: Thank you. Could we scroll to. What slide are we at now? Thank you.

**Court Assistant #2** 20:12: You're at slide 100.

**Senior Counsel Harrison** 20:14: Thank you very much. Chairman. At this time, I'm going to invite the witnesses to make remarks. We are at a point where I'm going to ask that the remainder of the slide presentation is done in camera. I believe it is best for the Commissioners to hear the remainder of the evidence in camera, and to make a determination at that point. If the evidence so heard and given is such that the Commissioners believe that it is evidence that the property value is greater than the prejuice, prejudicial value. And in the circumstances, I would recommend that the remaining slides are heard in camera.

 However, the witnesses may before that is done or, an order is such made, made in that manner, may wish to offer comments with regards to the evidence which has been given so far. Before we consider going to hearing evidence in camera. That is my submission Chairman, for your due consideration.

**W. Perinchief-Chairman** 22:03: Yes, Counsel, this Commission is well aware of the rules of the Commission of Inquiry as drafted in 1935 Act, which allows this Commission to hear evidence upon our consideration in camera. And in this instance, we will, when we adjourn, consider your submission, number 1. and the efficacy of taking this Commission of Inquiry and hearing the witness evidence in camera, which means that the media will be recused from participating and broadcasting the case live. But, that would occur not at this point. It would occur when we resume tomorrow.

 Nevertheless, we do request that the witnesses continue any summary of evidence already led before this Tribunal, before this Commission. And uh, we will hear that evidence. But no evidence past the point that we've requested. Slide 100 indicates certain matters that would be best on camera. So, Counselor, if you wish to make a final comment before we request that the.. just for a wrap and further information of the witnesses as to the process.

**Senior Counsel Harrison** 23:44: Thank you, Chairman. Could I ask that the slide is removed from the screen? Thank you. I'd start first with Mrs. Cynthia. Sorry. I'm having a junior moment not a senior one, a junior.

**W. Perinchief-Chairman** 24:14: Senior moments are reserved for the Chair.

**Cynthia Fishington** 24:17: Excuse me. While you are having your junior moment can I request to go third instead of first?

**Senior Counsel Harrison** 24:25: I'm sorry, could you repeat that?

**Cynthia Fishington** 24:26: Can I? This is Cynthia Fishington, asking if I can go third instead of first.

**Senior Counsel Harrison** 24:35: Sure. Thank you. Okay, Mr. Mr. Darrell? Sir Nathan Darrell?

**Nathan Darrell** 24:42: Yes, Nathan Darrell. Um, We just saw three of many plans that my father has for the area that we that's in question and in looking through all this stuff, it's, it's really mind blowing to see the outright deceit that is being carried out throughout the years in dealing with land in Bermuda. I have taken the plans myself and traced them, and like played around with them and put them on top of each other and all of that and, for the most part, maybe out of seven, two or three may line up. So, I just want the Commissioners to be able to take the little bit of information that we've given them so far, and understand the, the strife and stress that my family has been put through trying to deal with this property dispute. Thank you.

**Senior Counsel Harrison** 26:02 Mr. McMahon?

**Donald McMahon** 26:13: Yeah. Donald MacMahon. I guess to piggyback on what Nathan said, basically, my whole life, I've just been hearing the property situation. And I used to listen to it and say it's unbelievable. It's impossible that can happen and all that sort of stuff. Um, I went to Heron Bay School. And I was there when they started, when they cut into the bank or something. And that's when my grandfather, that's when action started taking place. Then I ended up going to Port Royal School. And um, first time I was hearing my mama make comments, say bad things about somebody was when Mr. Powell came to our sports. It was a prize giving. And I looked and I said, what? That's not like you. She said, that's the person who stole grandpa's property. So, that's when I, you know, said okay, so everything started clicking together. I used to put in a lot of time with my uncle. We used to go through situations and stuff. I said, this is impossible. He said yeah, this happens, this happens. Just come and watch and I used to go to the meetings and stuff and say it's unbelievable. I was in the meeting when they lost..

**Senior Counsel Harrison** 27:34: Adjust your microphone. Take your microphone and bring it closer to you, please.

**Donald McMahon** 26:10: Okay, I was, I was in the meeting with the judge the before the case judgment. And um, I just had to smile because every time my uncle was trying to prove a point. "Oh, no, Mr. Darrell, we don't want to do hear that." We don't want to hear that. And what my uncle says, Well, the same property that you're taking from me saying it's mine, this is the same property we were in a court case with and you said it was government's; when he was a solicitor general. And I was sitting there looking at him because, I didn't say nothing because my uncle said don't say nothing. But I'm saying this is unbelievable like to really just sit there and see it for yourself it's unbelievable. And what hurts the most is the fact that, my great great grandparents worked hard for this property. You know, they worked, they bought it, they paid their taxes on it and everything. And they had to come along and say, This is not yours. This is ours from way back then. But you have no receipts, we have receipts, you know, we have all the evidence that is ours. And we go to them.

 Every time you go to a court case, you just see a different plan. But it's no deeds, no nothing like that. But you come up with a different plan. They actually show deeds. They can't show no the deeds, but it's a different plan. My uncle was threatened to be locked up in 1983 because he cut into the property. And every time he went back he says well, I'm not locked up yet. You know, you threaten to lock somebody up. He blocked the Road and all that sort of stuff and still didn't get locked up. And the reason why, because the road was on our property.

 The letter from Appleby Spurling & Kempe, and it says we own 100 feet by 70 feet and it says the registry books..Okay, let me get that. No, I'm not going back to that. I'm going back to the mortgage deed. The mortgage deed of 1888, referring to the property. A parcel of land in Southampton Parish provided on the north by land formerly owned by Anthony Darrell deceased. And now in occupation of possession of William Lightbourne and, and therefore measuring one hundred feet on the south by land formally of Thomas Cooper, deceased and now in the occupation of and possession of Joseph Francis Darrell there measuring 100 feet on the east by land to in the possession of Rosemary Cooper, and there measuring 75 feet and on the west by the Tribe Road. All throughout this whole case, we've been saying the same thing. Tribe Road, Darrell's Property instead of Emelius Darrell and it's constantly being, oh, no Tribe Road exists.

**W. Perinchief-Chairman** 29:04: Yeah, Mr. McMahon just just for reference, and to refresh my memory, that, that deed.

**Donald McMahon** 30:46: This, this mortgage deed.

**W. Perinchief-Chairman** 30:47: This is one that's already been tendered by yourself correct? Yes, just for clarification, I’m making sure my memory is serving me well.

**Donald McMahon** 30:56: Okay, this was registered 30th of October 1888. and ummum . You know, I went to a court case and stuff where this guy Johnson or whatever, was saying, oh, he's a surveyor. There's no evidence of a Tribe Road this that and whatever. And we have witnesses come up and say, oh, there was a Tribe Road. Described the Tribe Road and said several trees was alongside the Tribe Road and everything. And that was three years before the judge made a judgment on that case. And that only came about because really, you know, Ryan sent that letter to the Queen. You know, it's it's like, when you look at it, you know, you say, you go away to school, you educate yourself, you come back to getting all these good positions, and you, you know, you're not doing, you know, you look at and say like, it's simple, it's common sense, but it's unbelievable. It's really and truly unbelievable. You really don't expect to see this.

**Donald McMahon** 31:54: I'll just leave her right there.

**W. Perinchief-Chairman** 31:58: Thank you very much, Mr. McMahon. We wish to continue with the other witness.

**Senior Counsel Harrison** 32:03: Mrs. Fishington.

**Cynthia Fishington** 32:06: Thank you. Cynthia Fishington. First of all, I want to thank you as council people who have given us this opportunity to come and lay our burdens I guess, on your shoulders. But basically, to let you know, how we feel about what has happened to our family, and to the land. I'm 73 years old. And I've always talked about the land to people who would hear, who will listen. And so the thing is, I didn't know that 1947 year old, which is my year of birth that's we have a letter in these papers with concern about the land. And I always told people I said, as long as I've known myself, we've always had a problem with the land.

 And so the letter, when we started reading and going through the information so that we can present it to you. We came across that letter. And all I could say is that's the year I was born. It has been happening ever since then? And I was right, but didn't even know I was right. That my father had been going through these problems ever since 1947. And before that, but at the same time, that was something that stood out in my mind. And I was so shocked that that was the date, the year I was born. I want to say thank you for letting us share this with you.

 As far as what do we expect from it or even to say what do we think about it? When you go through all those letters that my brother presented, sent to. Even to the Queen? How far can you go? How high can you go? because if you can't get through to the Queen and have something done for you. You can't get nothing done. Of course, God could help. We've been praying strong about that but at the same time, I'm saying that we know that it belongs to us. In fact, I even asked my brother, why don't you just build on the property land? And he said, What, to have it taken from me? Why build on it? Because he built several houses all over the place. He built on the land. But I understood where he was coming from you as well. He wanted to make sure that what he built on that land would stay ours, or whoever built on the land but I want to say that if you want to know what are we looking for, we're looking for justice. And I will say true justice. And yes, we want to know, and have it mentioned that it is your land or it was your land. And we should never have lost the part that we lost. We should never have lost it because it wasn't their land to take. Anything at all? The people who were responsible for us losing that land? They should be responsible for housing the people that is on that land, and give us back our land. I just want to. I know that's probably not going to happen but that's what should happen. Thank you.

**Senior Counsel Harrison** 36:12: Thank you very much.

**W. Perinchief-Chairman** 36:13: Counselor, you wish to speak?

**Senior Counsel Harrison** 36:15: Thank you. Uh, Miss Hayley Teart?

**Hayley Teart** 36:22: Thank you. Cynthia Fishington, Nathan Darrell, Donald McMahon and myself, Hayley Teart are brought forward just a few documents in support of the arguments presented by John Darrell and his letters to relevant persons. From these findings were further substantiated by the Department of Public Prosecutions, the Bermuda Police Service investigations, letters to and from Her Majesty the Queen, the governor of Bermuda, honorable lawyers, and various notable politicians on both sides of the house. It's been nearly 70 years since the first efforts of George Darrell to assert his claim to the Estate of Emelius Darrell as was inherited by him. We recognize that the Commission is not a governing body and cannot come to any legal conclusion. However, our bid to the Commission is not necessarily to prove that the four properties in question rightfully belong to the Darrell family, as this has been proven by John Darrell many times within the past years and supported and acknowledged by various authorities.

 Rather, our bid to the Commission is threefold, and reflects the wishes of John Darrell and the surviving relatives. Firstly, we want to identify the status of the inhabited, inhabited land that belongs to the Estate of Emelius Darrell. Especially considering that the Heron Bay school is set to close and a portion of the existing land remains undeveloped and uninhabited at the moment.

 Secondly, we want to submit an official or we want the Commission to submit an official recommendation to compensate the family of John Darrell. In 2002, John Darrell requested a sum of roughly $175 million, which is now valued at roughly $252 million dollars for compensation of the 37 houses, 2 taxis, and numerous other damages and debts incurred by him during that 50 plus years that he spent fighting for the Estate of Emelius Darrell, and we are sure that this number in reality should be much larger to account for the generational wealth and opportunity robbed from the descendants of George Darrell, who would have been supported and benefited if the property was not stolen from its rightful owners.

 Thirdly, we would like the Commission to submit a recommendation to the Premier of the Government of Bermuda to publicly acknowledge the wrongdoings of the involved parties, and the inaction thus far to address this longstanding issue. Other requests by John Darrell include that the Riviera Estate Road be renamed to Wellington Road, after George Wellington, Darrell, and that the sunny side Park Road to be renamed Emelius East and Emelius West. The family assumes that this change was communi, communicated to John Darrell to be fulfilled actually in the early 2000s, but has yet to be acted on. Finally, um, we appreciate the time that the Commission has taken to hear the case of John Darrell, and hope that some resolve may be brought to, may be brought to the family and descendants from Emelius Darrell, George Darrell and, John Darrell. And we hope that the name of the Darrell, of John Darrell will be cleared and, not only cleared but honored for his longstanding fight for the family's rightful land. And his resilience be recognized as a historical act not only for our family, but also for the many other families that he attempted to help as well. We call for justice for John Darrell and the Darrell family and the countless others that were dispossessed of their land. So once again, thank you. And that's it.

**Senior Counsel Harrison** 39:43: Thank you, Miss Teart. So, Mr. Chairman and Commissioners. At this time, I would indicate that this is as far as we are able to take the evidence. As indicated earlier, I will just repeat that the remaining evidence that is to be heard. I believe that it is my recommendation is that it is heard in camera so that the Commissioners can in fact determine. They have a look at the evidence, I believe it should be held in camera in the interest of justice because I believe some of the evidence, the Commissioners need to weigh whether the probative value outweighs the prejudicial value of the evidence to be heard. I would propose that the matter continues tomorrow morning for the Commissioners to hear that other bit of evidence in the absence of the in the absence of the media, however, the media would be required to return on Monday when we resume. Thank you.

**W. Perinchief-Chairman** 40:48: Yeah, um yes Counsel. Keeping in mind that we will be in camera tomorrow. And also, reflecting on the fact that the witnesses have made certain comments to the Commission including laudatory comments, I would like to respond. In part to say that, number one, the Chair and the Commission, appreciate the evidence you've brought for clarification of the matter. You've done so in a fictitious member, manner. You presented your evidence, clearly. And we will consider the evidence fairly. I wish to ensure that this Commission was put in place to bring about clarity. To bring about, if we need justice. And number one, we will act with impartiality. I want you to feel assured that this Commission will act with impartiality. And we will now adjourn for today and we'll restart the matter tomorrow in camera. And I wish for those comments to go publicly before we went into camera. And we do thank you for your evidence thus far.

**Senior Counsel Harrison** 42:28: Thank you Chairman. I'd like to thank Commissioners, yourself, the Secretariat staff, the support staff. Mr. Lee and his team and thank most important to the witnesses for attending. Thank you very much.

**W. Perinchief-Chairman** 42:42: Thank you. This Commission will adjourn for the day. And we'll reconvene tomorrow at 10 o'clock to hear a continuation of this matter, Teart/Darrell matter in

camera. Thank you very much. Good day.

**END OF TRANSCRIPTION AT TIME 42:42**